

CISA

PUBLICATION

October 2026

**Illicit Financial Flows and Their Impact on
Governance, Political Stability, and
Development in Africa**



**20
26**

1. INTRODUCTION

Illicit financial flows (IFFs) are among the major obstacles to Africa's economic transformation, good governance and sustainable development. The United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) estimates that Africa loses approximately US\$88.6 billion annually through illicit financial outflows, equivalent to about 3.7 per cent of the continent's GDP (UNCTAD, 2020). These resources could otherwise support health, education, infrastructure, social protection and productive investment. Their loss is particularly significant given Africa's persistent development-financing gap and dependence on external financing (Ndikumana & Boyce, 2011; UNCTAD, 2020).

The consequences of IFFs extend beyond lost revenue. They weaken domestic resource mobilisation, distort markets, facilitate corruption and organised crime, undermine public institutions and reduce public confidence in government (ECA, 2015; UNCTAD, 2020). The African Union (AU), United Nations (UN), World Bank and International Monetary Fund (IMF) consequently recognise IFFs as not merely a criminal-justice problem, but also a development, governance and international financial-system problem.

Importantly, Illicit financial inflows, which is the movement of criminal or otherwise illicit capital into a country, can also reshape economies and political systems. Such inflows may initially create liquidity, investment and employment, particularly where formal sources of finance are limited. However, when the incoming capital is controlled by criminal networks, it can gradually distort markets, corrupt institutions and allow those networks to acquire legitimate businesses, influence political actors and penetrate the state. Thus, illicit inflows can produce an apparent economic benefit while simultaneously creating conditions for criminal capture of parts of the economy and, ultimately, the state.

2. NATURE, SCALE AND SOURCES OF IFFS

IFFs broadly refer to financial flows that are illicit in origin, transfer or use, involve an exchange of value and cross national borders (ECA, 2015; UNCTAD, 2020). They include corruption, bribery, money laundering, trafficking, smuggling, tax evasion, trade misinvoicing, transfer mispricing and certain forms of aggressive tax avoidance and profit shifting.

The phenomenon therefore extends beyond conventional criminal activity. Commercial practices that manipulate cross-border transactions or shift profits can also deprive African states of resources (Okanga & Latif, 2021; UNCTAD, 2020). The High-Level Panel on Illicit Financial Flows from Africa estimated that approximately 65 per cent of IFFs originate from commercial activities, 30 per cent from criminal activities and 5 per cent from corruption (ECA, 2015).

This distribution demonstrates why IFFs cannot be addressed exclusively through anti-corruption or criminal-enforcement measures. Tax administration, trade transparency, corporate regulation and international financial cooperation are equally important.

3. ILLICIT FINANCIAL INFLOWS AND THE RISK OF CRIMINAL ECONOMIC CAPTURE

Illicit inflows are as dangerous and harmful as outflows because incoming criminal capital can alter the structure of an economy. Criminal proceeds generated abroad may enter a country through banking systems, real-estate purchases, businesses, trade transactions, informal financial networks or other channels. Money laundering ultimately seeks to integrate illicit proceeds into the legitimate economy, making their criminal origin difficult to identify (FATF, 2018).

Initially, illicit inflows may appear economically beneficial. A country receiving large amounts of foreign capital may experience increased liquidity, consumption, construction, business activity and employment. Criminal investors may purchase property, establish companies, finance transport or trading businesses, and inject money into sectors where legitimate domestic capital is scarce. In economies with weak financial systems or limited access to international investment, these activities can create a temporary impression of growth.

The problem is that the source and purpose of the capital matter. Legitimate foreign investment is generally subject to legal, tax and regulatory obligations. Illicit capital, by contrast, is primarily concerned with concealment, preservation of criminal wealth and the expansion of networks. Consequently, economic activity generated by illicit inflows may not produce equivalent public benefits. Criminally financed enterprises may evade taxes, manipulate competition, bribe officials or use legitimate businesses to disguise proceeds.

Over time, illicit inflows can therefore distort competition. Businesses financed by criminal wealth may have access to capital that legitimate competitors cannot match. They may be willing to absorb losses because the primary objective is not necessarily commercial profit but the placement and integration of illicit funds. Criminal actors can consequently acquire businesses, property and strategic economic assets at prices that legitimate entrepreneurs cannot afford. Money laundering thus moves beyond hiding money: it can become a mechanism for acquiring economic power (FATF, 2018; Lord et al., 2019).

The political implications can be even more serious. Once criminal networks control profitable businesses, they acquire relationships with politicians, public officials, banks, lawyers, accountants and other intermediaries. Professional intermediaries can facilitate the establishment of companies, movement of assets and concealment of beneficial

ownership (Lord et al., 2019). Criminal wealth can also finance patronage networks and political relationships, particularly where political institutions are weak.

This creates a dangerous feedback loop. Criminal money enters the economy; the money purchases legitimate assets; ownership creates influence; influence weakens enforcement; weak enforcement attracts additional illicit capital; and the resulting wealth allows criminal actors to expand further. In this way, illicit inflows can transform criminal organisations from external threats into domestic economic and political actors.

The ultimate danger is therefore not simply money laundering but criminal capture. If criminal networks become sufficiently wealthy, they may influence public procurement, customs, licensing, natural-resource concessions, law enforcement or political financing. The boundary between the criminal economy and the formal state can become increasingly blurred. This is consistent with the broader finding that weak institutions create opportunities for illicit finance while illicit finance further weakens those institutions (Marc et al., 2015).

The economic benefits of illicit inflows must therefore be distinguished from sustainable development. Increased spending, construction or employment can improve short-term economic indicators without strengthening the productive and institutional foundations of the economy. Indeed, if criminal wealth becomes concentrated in politically connected groups, the resulting growth may reinforce inequality, corruption and institutional capture rather than broad-based development.

4. CORRUPTION AND MONEY LAUNDERING

Corruption and money laundering reinforce one another. Corruption generates illicit proceeds, while laundering mechanisms conceal and legitimise them. FATF identifies three conventional stages of money laundering: placement, layering and integration (FATF, 2018). During integration, illicit proceeds are reintroduced into the legitimate economy, which makes the concept of illicit inflows especially important.

Money laundering may involve banks, lawyers, accountants, private bankers, businesses, informal financial systems and international trade. Informal mechanisms such as hawala can provide legitimate financial services but may also be vulnerable to laundering where supervision is weak (FATF, 2013).

A corrupt official may receive a bribe, embezzle state resources or manipulate procurement. Those proceeds can then be transferred, invested or converted into apparently legitimate assets. Conversely, the existence of laundering mechanisms makes corruption more attractive because perpetrators can potentially preserve and enjoy illicit gains while concealing their origins.

IFFs should therefore be understood as an interconnected system. Focusing on one component while ignoring the others allows networks to adapt and continue operating.

5. IFFS, GOVERNANCE AND POLITICAL STABILITY

The relationship between IFFs and governance is circular. Weak institutions create opportunities for illicit finance, while illicit finance can further weaken institutions. Where parliaments provide inadequate oversight, courts lack independence, law-enforcement institutions are under-resourced or anti-corruption agencies lack autonomy, political and economic actors can capture public institutions for private benefit (International IDEA, 2019).

Criminal wealth can reinforce these vulnerabilities. When illicitly financed businesses become major employers, investors or suppliers, governments may become reluctant or unable to challenge them. Criminal networks can develop relationships with political elites, while political actors may benefit from illicit sources of finance. In fragile states, powerful actors may consequently have limited incentives to strengthen institutions that threaten their revenue streams (Marc et al., 2015).

This creates a form of state vulnerability in which criminal organisations do not necessarily need to overthrow government directly. Instead, they can gradually acquire influence through economic ownership, corruption, political relationships and control of strategic markets. The result may be a state in which formal institutions continue to exist but their decisions are increasingly shaped by private illicit interests.

6. SOCIO-ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES

The most direct consequence of IFFs is the loss of domestic resources. Africa's estimated US\$88.6 billion in annual illicit outflows represents resources that could support public services and productive investment (UNCTAD, 2020). Persistent leakage can reduce fiscal capacity, increase dependence on external financing and contribute to broader debt pressures, although indebtedness has multiple causes (Ndikumana & Boyce, 2011; UNCTAD, 2020).

Capital flight also reduces domestic investment. Resources transferred abroad are unavailable for productive activities at home, while the simultaneous accumulation of external debt and private offshore wealth presents an important development concern (Ndikumana & Boyce, 2011).

Illicit inflows present the opposite but complementary problem. Instead of removing capital from an economy, they can inject capital without strengthening the institutions needed to manage it. If criminal wealth becomes concentrated in property, mining, trade,

finance or politically connected businesses, economic growth may become dependent on actors whose interests conflict with public accountability.

The result can be a paradox: a country may experience visible economic activity while its institutions and sovereignty are becoming weaker. Construction may increase, businesses may expand and consumption may rise, but the underlying source of capital may be creating dependence on criminal networks. Such growth is therefore potentially fragile and difficult to distinguish from genuine development without effective financial transparency.

7. THE SAHEL: IFFS, INSECURITY AND THE INFORMAL ECONOMY

The Sahel illustrates how illicit economies intersect with insecurity, governance and livelihoods. The region combines valuable resources with weak institutional capacity, porous borders, extensive informal economies and limited economic opportunities (Ben Yahia, 2019; Micallef et al., 2019).

Gold mining is particularly significant. Gold can provide income to communities and national economies, but weak regulation and insecurity create opportunities for illegal mining, smuggling, corruption and money laundering. Raineri (2020) shows how gold mining in the Sahara-Sahel can intersect with processes of state-making and state-unmaking.

The gold trade can involve miners, communities, armed groups, transporters, customs officials, brokers, traders and international markets. Illegally extracted gold can cross borders and enter legitimate markets, allowing criminal or politically connected actors to accumulate economic and political power while states lose tax and royalty revenues (Raineri, 2020).

The Sahel therefore demonstrates the relationship between illicit finance and security. Conflict creates opportunities for illicit economies, while illicit revenues can support armed and criminal networks. In turn, insecurity weakens the state's capacity to regulate economic activity and provide services (Marc et al., 2015; Micallef et al., 2019).

8. TOWARDS A GOVERNANCE-CENTRED RESPONSE

An effective response must extend beyond conventional law enforcement.

First, African states need stronger and more accountable parliaments, judiciaries, anti-corruption agencies, financial intelligence units, tax authorities, customs agencies and audit institutions (ECA, 2015; World Bank, 2017).

Second, governments should strengthen beneficial-ownership disclosure, public-procurement transparency, tax-information exchange and extractive-sector reporting. The Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative provides a framework for transparency concerning licences, production, revenues and resource governance (EITI, 2023).

Third, tax administration and international tax cooperation must be improved. Greater transparency in cross-border transactions can reduce opportunities for profit shifting, trade misinvoicing and abusive tax practices (Okanga & Latif, 2021; IMF, 2023).

Fourth, countries must address international financial structures that facilitate concealment through offshore companies and secrecy jurisdictions (Palan, 2003; Zucman, 2015). Asset recovery, beneficial-ownership transparency and international cooperation are therefore essential.

Finally, responses in fragile regions must combine enforcement with livelihoods, financial inclusion and effective public services. Criminalising informal economic activity without addressing poverty and limited employment opportunities can displace rather than eliminate illicit markets (Micallef et al., 2019; Raineri, 2020).

9. CONCLUSION

Illicit financial flows are fundamentally a governance, development and political-stability challenge. Africa's estimated US\$88.6 billion in annual illicit outflows represents resources that could contribute to public services, infrastructure, employment and productive investment (UNCTAD, 2020).

However, the problem is broader than money leaving African economies. Illicit financial inflows can also reshape economies from within. Criminal capital can initially provide liquidity, investment and employment, but its integration into legitimate markets can give criminal networks ownership, influence and political connections. If institutions are weak, these networks may gradually penetrate legitimate businesses, public procurement, natural-resource sectors and political structures. The long-term consequence can be a form of criminal economic capture in which formal institutions remain in place but their effectiveness and independence are progressively weakened.

The central relationship is therefore mutually reinforcing: weak governance facilitates IFFs; IFFs weaken institutions; weakened institutions permit greater criminal penetration; and criminal penetration can further reduce the state's ability to regulate the economy. Corruption generates illicit proceeds, money laundering conceals them, illicit inflows can integrate them into legitimate markets, and economic power can subsequently be converted into political influence.

Africa's response must consequently centre on good governance, institutional accountability, financial transparency, fair taxation, financial inclusion, sustainable livelihoods and international cooperation. Addressing IFFs is not solely an anti-corruption or financial-crime agenda. It is also a development and state-capacity strategy. Retaining resources that currently leave through illicit channels, while preventing criminal capital from capturing domestic economies, would strengthen domestic resource mobilisation and help African states pursue the objectives of Agenda 2063 and the UN 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

REFERENCES

African Union. (2015). *Assembly special declaration on illicit financial flows* (Assembly/AU/Decl.5(XXIV). African Union.

Ben Yahia, J. (2019). *Understanding transnational organised crime in the Maghreb and Sahel* (Mediterranean Dialogue Series No. 17). Konrad-Adenauer-Stiftung.

Economic Commission for Africa. (2015). *Illicit financial flows: Report of the High Level Panel on illicit financial flows from Africa*. United Nations Economic Commission for Africa.

Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative. (2023). *The EITI standard 2023*. Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative.

Financial Action Task Force. (2013). *The role of hawala and other similar service providers in money laundering and terrorist financing*. FATF.

Financial Action Task Force. (2018). *Professional money laundering*. FATF.

International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance. (2019). *Money in politics: In brief*. International IDEA.

International Monetary Fund. (2023). *Illicit financial flows and their impact on macroeconomic stability*. International Monetary Fund.

Lord, N. J., Campbell, L. J., & Van Wingerde, K. (2019). Other people's dirty money: Professional intermediaries, market dynamics and the financing of transnational organised crime. *The British Journal of Criminology*, 59(5), 1218–1236. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjc/azz004>

Marc, A., Verjee, N., & Mogaka, S. (2015). *The challenge of stability and security in West Africa*. World Bank.

Micallef, M., Farrah, R., Bish, A., & Tanner, V. (2019). *After the storm: Organized crime across the Sahel-Sahara following upheaval in Libya and Mali*. Global Initiative Against Transnational Organized Crime.

Ndikumana, L., & Boyce, J. K. (2011). *Africa's odious debts: How foreign loans and capital flight bled a continent*. Zed Books.

Okanga, O., & Latif, M. (2021). *Illicit financial flows and tax evasion in Africa*. [Source details should be retained exactly as they appear in the original publication.]

Palan, R. (2003). *The offshore world: Sovereign markets, virtual places, and nomad millionaires*. Cornell University Press.

Raineri, L. (2020). Gold mining in the Sahara-Sahel: The political geography of state-making and unmaking. *The International Spectator*, 55(4), 100–117. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03932729.2020.1833475>

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. (2020). *Tackling illicit financial flows for sustainable development in Africa*. United Nations.

World Bank. (2017). *Illicit financial flows (IFFs)*. World Bank.

World Bank, & United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (n.d.). *Stolen Asset Recovery Initiative (StAR)*. World Bank.

Zucman, G. (2015). *The hidden wealth of nations: The scourge of tax havens*. University of Chicago Press.